# **REMARKS**

Claims 1, 2, 5-18, 28, 29, 32-45 and 48-56 are currently active.

Antecedent support for Claims 48, 49, 53 and 54 is found in Claims 1, 3 and 4 and figure 1.

Antecedent support for Claims 50 and 51 is found in Claims 28, 30 and 31 and figure 1.

Claims 3, 4, 19-27, 30, 31 46 and 47 have been canceled.

Applicants note the restriction requirement has been made final, and have accordingly canceled the non-elected claims.

The Examiner has objected to the specification due to various informalities.

The length of the Abstract has been shortened. Various terms the Examiner has questioned have been more clearly defined. No new matter has been added.

The Examiner has objected to the drawings. The drawings have been amended, as shown in red, to obviate this objection. Formal drawings will be prepared when the application is allowed.

The Examiner has rejected Claims 1-4 and 28-31 as being anticipated by Musto.

Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Referring to Musto, there is disclosed a roller mill control system. Musto teaches a roller mill 10 having a feeder means 12. The roller mill 10 includes a mill base 14 to which a mill side 16 is affixed. The mill base 14 with the mill side 16 affixed to it is supported upon a mill foundation 18. Housed within the mill base 14 and extending upwardly into the mill side 16 is a gear means 20. The gear means 20 is driven by a mill motor 22. A spider 24 is mounted at the upper end of the gear means 20.

Musto teaches the roller mill 10 also includes a return air housing 36. The return air housing 36 is located in juxtaposed relation to the mill base 14 of the roller mill 10 so as to provide a flow path for air flow between the interior and exterior of the roller mill 10. The roller mill 10 is also provided with a classifier 38. The classifier 38 is mounted on the mill side 16 of the roller mill 10 so as to be coaxially aligned therewith. The classifier 38 is operative to effectuate a separation according to particle size of the material that has been

ground within the roller mill 10 through the co-action of the grinding rolls 30 with the grinding ring 32. At the upper end of the classifier 38 is an outlet 40. See column 7, lines 30-54.

Musto teaches that in operation, the material to be pulverized is introduced at a controlled rate by means of the feeder means 12 and falls to the mill bottom 42. Plow-like members 34 scoop up the material that is to be ground and deposits it in a continuous stream between the rolls 30 and the ring 32 whereby through the co-action of the rolls 30 and ring 32 the pulverization of the material occurs. A large volume of air enters the roller mill 10 through tangential ports with which the mill base 14 is provided at points immediately below the grinding ring 32. This large volume of air is operative to sweep the fine and medium fine fractions of the ground material into a separation zone located directly above the grinding elements 30 and 32. The classifier 38 then classifies the ground material whereby the oversized particles are made to automatically drop back to the grinding zone within the roller mill 10 whereupon they are subjected to further size reduction. The fine particles of material, on the other hand that are of the proper size are carried along in the air flow and are subsequently discharged from the roller mill 10. See column 7, line 55-column 8, line 19. As is clear from the above description, the separating zone and classifier 38 is located directly above the grinding elements 30 and 32, see column 8, lines 3-6, and are not distinct, separate and remote from the grinding zone. Additionally, there is no teaching, suggestion or need for

any conveyor in Musto, since the separation zone is already in line with and in direct connection with the grinding zone; and desired and undesired particles are simply define by size (not by material as defined by applicants' claimed invention), with the undesired (too big) particles falling back into the grinding zone, with no teaching they will ever be removed in such a state. Consequently, there is no need for a conveyor for removal purposes either in Musto.

The examiner has indicated that claims 7-18 and 34-45 are objected to but would be allowable if written in independent form with all of the limitations of their base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 1 is now claim 7, and claim 28 is now claim 34, written in independent form with all of the limitations of their base claim and any intervening claims.

The Examiner has rejected Claims 5, 6, 32 and 33 as being unpatentable over Musto in view of Sawamura. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Referring to Sawamura, there is disclosed a method and apparatus for grinding material particles. Sawamura teaches a grinding apparatus for producing a cement powder product and a fluidized-bed type classifying device 30 of the apparatus. The grinding apparatus has a vertical roller mill 10 and a tube mill 40. In a grinding operation of a cement clinker by the grinding apparatus, the cement clinkers as original material are pre-ground by

the roller mill 10, and the pre-ground material is then secondarily ground by the tube mill 40, thus producing a cement powder product. The roller mill 10 includes a table 11 rotatably arranged and pressing rollers 12 that are pressed against the table 11. The cement clinker as the material, is fed in the hopper 16 and then to the roller mill 10 to a feeder 17 for feeding a predetermined amount thereof to the roller mill 10. The material pre-ground in the roller mill 10 is then fed to the tube mill 40 through a material conveying path which is provided with a bucket elevator conveyor 21 and gravitationally falling type conveyor tubes 22 and 23. The tube mill 40 is provided with a material inlet connected to the material conveyor path 20 and a material outlet connected to a cyclone separator 52 to a bucket elevator conveyor 51. The material ground in the tube mill 40 and fed into the separator 52 is separated therein into a fine material component and a course material component. The fine material component is sent to a conveyor tube 54 to which a cement powder is obtained, and the course material is again supplied to the tube mill 40 through a conveyor tube 53. See column 5, line 36-column 6, line 6.

Sawamura teaches a fluidized-bed-type classifying device 30 is arranged between the conveyor tubes 22 and 23 and the material conveyor path 20. The classifying device 30 comprises a casing 31 having-a box-shaped structure including an inner hollow portion which is divided into upper and lower sections by a porous partition plate 32 acting as a filter member. When the pre-ground material is fed into the chamber 33 from the conveyor

tubes 22 through the material inlet 33a formed to the top wall of the chamber 33 and, simultaneously, the air is introduced into the chamber 33 through the air introducing port 34a formed to the bottom of the casing 31, the fine material particles or powders each having a diameter of less than a predetermined size are floated and fluidized in the fluidized bed chamber 33 by the forcible introduction of the air introduced to the ports formed to the porous partition plate 32. A portion of the floating and fluidized fine material flows out through the upper chute 35, and the remaining portion of the material not floated and fluidized and some amount of the fine material which falls on the porous plate 32 are discharged through the lower chute 36 because of the downward inclination of the porous partition plate 32. See column 6, lines 26-63.

As is readily apparent from the above description, and reference to figures 1 and 2 of Sawamura, the roller mill 10 is separate from the classifier 30 which in turn is separate and distinct from the tube mill 40 and a second separator 52. The material from the roller mill 10 is provided by a conveyor path 20 to the classifier 30, and the material from the classifier 30 is subsequently provided to the tube mill 40 through another conveyor tube 23. Sawamura does not teach the roller mill 10 utilizes air for separation purposes, that occurring in a distinct location in the classifier 30. Similarly, Sawamura does not teach tube mill 40 uses air flow or fluid flow through it to assist in separation of materials.

As explained above, Claim 1 is now Claim 7, and Claim 28 is now Claim 34, written in independent form with all of the limitations of their base claim and any intervening claims, which the Examiner has indicated would be allowable.

In regard to Claim 48, there is the limitation of "the separation mechanism separate and remote from the pulverizer". As explained above, Musto teaches the separation mechanism is located directly above the grinding elements 30 and 32, and thus does not teach or suggest this limitation. Accordingly, Claim 48 is not anticipated by Musto.

Claim 48 also has the limitation of "an air swept pulverizer for breaking up coal into particles . . . the pulverizer includes an air blower which introduces flowing air into the pulverizer". As explained above in regard to Sawamura, there is taught a roller mill 10 that is connected to a bucket elevator conveyor 21 that feeds material from the roller mill 10 to a classifying device 30 separate and apart from the roller mill 10. Additionally, from the classifying device 30 through conveyor tubes 22 and 23, material is provided to a tube mill 40. It is only the classifying device 30 which is taught to have any type of an air flow in it, but this is separate and distinct from the roller mill 10 or the tube mill 40. Accordingly, Claim 48 is not anticipated by Sawamura.

The combination of Musto and Sawamura does not make obvious, or arrive at the invention of Claim 48. The Examiner has proposed that the combination of Musto and

Sawamura make Claim 5 unpatentable, stating that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the separation mechanism of Musto with a conveyor in view of Sawamura as a means to transport material from the separation mechanism to the next step in the process. See page 5, middle paragraph. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

First, it is black letter patent law that there must be some teaching in the references themselves to combine the teachings of the reference. In regard to Musto and Sawamura, there is no such teaching to combine the teachings of Musto and Sawamura to arrive at Claim 48. Musto teaches a unified system with the separation zone located directly above the grinding elements 30 and 32, where a return air housing 36 is part of the unified system. There is no teaching or suggestion anywhere for any type of a conveyor or connection device to take material from the unified system and provide it to another separator, or to implace a conveyor between the grinding zone and the separation zone in the unified system, let alone the need for further separation. In fact, Musto teaches that the classifier 38 has an outlet 40 through which suitably ground material is blown out, and provides no indication that any further conveyor is needed to any other type of separation zone for any material in the system taught by Musto. The fact that Musto teaches a unified system, specifically teaches away from using a conveyor to connect the grinding zone with a separation zone as taught by Sawamura.

Conversely, Sawamura teaches the need to connect a grinder to a separator with a conveyor, because Sawamura teaches the grinder and the separator are distinct and apart. The roller mill 10 taught by Sawamura has no separation capability. There is no teaching or suggestion in Sawamura to eliminate the conveyor that connects the grinder and the separator, and to unify the grinder and the separator, such as that taught by Musto. The fact that Sawamura teaches a grinder and a separator that are distinct and apart from each other, specifically teaches away from a unified system of a grinder and a separator together.

Accordingly, there is no teaching or suggestion in the applied art record to combine the teachings of the references cited by the Examiner.

Furthermore, it is black letter patent law that the teachings of a reference cannot be taken out of the context in which they are found. The context of the teachings in regard to Musto, is a unified system where the separation zone and the grinding zone are together in a unified system with air blowing through them. The context of the teachings in regard to Sawamura is a grinder, without any air flow, separate and apart from a separator that are connected by a chute. The Examiner is ignoring the completely distinct context each of these teachings in the respective references are found.

The context of each of these teachings dictates that Musto and Sawamura are incompatible and cannot be combined. The Examiner cannot take the conveyor taught by Sawamura, where the conveyor connects the grinder to a separator, and then somehow or

other introduce the conveyor into the unified system taught by Musto to somehow or other connect the grinding zone with the separation zone, where the grinding zone and separation zone have air blowing through them in the context of Musto. Applicants are at a loss how such a redesign would occur, since the grinding zone taught by Sawamura does not have any type of separation going on whatsoever as is provided for by the air flow in the pulverizer of applicants' Claim 48. Moreover, applicants are at a loss where the conveyor would be placed and how it would operate in regard to the design of the unified system of the grinder and air flow with separator taught by Musto. It would require significant research, development and redesign to make such a combined system operational, if it even could be operational from looking at only the teachings found in Musto and Sawamura, and it is certainly not obvious to do, let alone arrive at applicants' claimed invention.

The only motivation for the Examiner to combine Musto and Sawamura is from the hindsight of applicants' claimed invention, with the elements of the claim serving as a road map for the Examiner to find the different elements in different references, and then having found the various elements, concludes that the claim is arrived at. However, this is also not the law. Accordingly, Claim 48 is patentable over Musto in view of Sawamura. Claim 49 is dependent to Claim 48 and is patentable for the reasons Claim 48 is patentable.

Claim 50 is patentable for the reasons Claim 48 is patentable. Claim 51 is dependent to Claim 50 and is patentable for the reasons Claim 50 is patentable.

Claim 53 is patentable for the reasons Claim 48 is patentable. Claim 54 is dependent to parent Claim 53 and is patentable for the reasons Claim 53 is patentable.

Furthermore, in Musto and Sawamura, everything that enters the apparatus emerges as product. Nothing is rejected. In the invention of Claims 5, 52 and 55, material is rejected from the system by a mechanical separator of a type which the applied art of record does not use. These claims are patentably distinct because of this reason, too.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully requested that the outstanding rejections and objections to this application be reconsidered and withdrawn, and Claims 1, 2, 5-18, 28, 29, 32-45 and 48-56, now in this application be allowed.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that the correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mell in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231,

14. V ( d/1.

Arisel M. Schwartz Registration No. 30, 587

Date

Respectfully submitted,

ROBIN-R. ODER, ET AL.

Ansel M. Schwartz, Esquire

Reg. No. 30,587 One Sterling Plaza

201 N. Craig Street

Suite 304

Pittsburgh, PA 15213

(412) 621-9222

Attorney for Applicants

## Version with markings to show changes made to the specification

Page 1, line 15-page 2, line 28:

Comminutors are employed to reduce the size of particles to a range which is desirable and to liberate impurities so that they can be removed downstream of comminution. The feed particles may range in size up to several inches while the product particles may range from inches down to microns in size. More comminution energy is required to bring a mixture of particles of widely ranging friabilities to the desired size consist than when the friable components alone are present. The invention relates to reducing comminution energy consumption and increasing the throughput of comminutors while improving the quality of the product of comminution by separating the friable and less friable components as they are liberated from the feed matrix within the grinding operation and before the hard component is overground. Specifically, the invention relates to modification and operation of comminuting devices and their classifiers, if they are used, so as to separate two streams from the comminution device. One is concentrated in the hard and less friable components liberated from the feed in the grinding operation. This may be either an impurity or a valuable component of the feed. The other is concentrated in the friable component of the feed. More specifically, the invention relates to combining the operation of a comminution device and a separation device so as to separate the hard components of the feed as they are liberated inside the comminutor but before they are overground. Separation methods based on gravity, size classification, dry magnetic separation, and triboelectric means are used to separate hard material [form] from friable material found in a mill concentrated steam taken from the comminution device. Particulate matter of differing chemical and physical makeup can have different magnetic properties and may be electrically charged by contact and friction, tribocharging. By including triboelectric separation means, modified dry magnetic separators can be effective in recovering friable material of a great range of types from the mill concentrated fraction taken from the mill. By this combined pulverizer-separator operation,

the MagMill™ can produce high quality comminution products without significant loss of the desired component. The MagMill™ is the name given to the above described combination of mill and separator. The friable material so separated is returned to the grinding zone for grinding to product fineness while the hard component is collected separately and not returned to the mill. By this means, both the quality and the recovery of the separated components are improved when compared to that of the state-of-the-art technology in which everything is reduced to the same size consist and then separated downstream of the comminution device.

# Page 5, line 15-page 6, line 17:

The logical place for fine coal cleaning is in the pulverizers, which are already used by the power plant. Indeed, EXPORTech Company, Inc. (Y. Feng, R.R. Oder, R.W. DeSollar, E.A Stephens, Jr., G.F. Teacher and T.L. Banfield, "Dry Coal Cleaning in a MagMill<sup>™</sup>, appearing in the Proceedings of the 22<sup>nd</sup> International Technical Conference on Coal Utilization and Fuel Systems," Clearwater, FL, March 16-19, 1997; See also R.R. Oder, R.E. Jamison, and E.D. Brandner, "Preliminary Results of Pre-Combustion Removal of Mercury, Arsenic, and Selenium from Coal by Dry Magnetic Separation," appearing in the Proceedings of the 24th International Technical Conference on Coal Utilization & Fuel Systems, Clearwater, FL, March 8-11, 1999, pp. 151-158, incorporated by reference herein) has shown that refuse with high levels of ash and sulfur can be separated from the internal circulation of almost all commercial pulverizers used at power plants and that removal of this refuse from the mill can lower the ash and sulfur levels and reduce the levels of toxic trace elements in the pulverized product. ETCi has further demonstrated that dry magnetic separation can be used to recover clean coal from the refuse (R.R. Oder, R.E. Jamison, and J.R. Davis, "Coal Cleaning at Pulverized-Coal Fired Power Plants," Proc. 11th Annual Pittsburgh Coal Conference - Coal: Energy and the Environment, Sept. 12-16, 1994, Pittsburgh, PA, Ed., S-H Chiang, pp. 640-645 (1994), incorporated by reference herein). Additionally, ETCi has suggested that the combined process of pulverization, size and density

classification in the mill, dry magnetic separation for recovery of clean coal from the mill refuse, plus return of the clean coal to the pulverizer for grinding to product fineness, is a novel method for efficient separation of ash forming minerals, sulfur, and hazardous pollutants from the coal fed to a pulverized-coal fired power plant. This novel method is not practiced in the electric power industry because of the significant engineering challenge associated with removal of a concentrated stream of refuse from the pulverizers. This obstacle has now been overcome and is the basis for the invention disclosed here.

# Page 6, line 18-page 7, line 11:

It is important to note that others have used magnetic separation to separate hard gauge material from the feed to pulverizers, which is standard practice in the industry, and some also to recover the value component from the underflow in the pyrite traps or tramp metal chutes employed in most grinding mills. While this material may be blended with the product or returned to the mill for further grinding, these efforts have treated only a small amount of the material fed to the mill. The current invention is greatly different from these past efforts in two major ways. First, large amounts of material are extracted from the internal circulation of the mill from locations other than the tramp iron chutes. Secondly, powerful magnetic separation techniques are employed which have the capability for separation of materials ranging from strongly magnetic to diamagnetic. Indeed, with the addition of triboelectric phenomena (ElectriMag™ Separator co-pending application having Serial Number 09/289,929 filed on April 14, 1999, incorporated by reference herein), the method is now capable of separating particles based on both magnetic and surface charging characteristics. The ElectriMag<sup>™</sup> separator is the name given to a separator which combines magnetic and electric forces where the electric charge is imparted by friction and can be different for particles of different physical and chemical characteristics especially surface charging characteristics. The present invention goes far beyond the present state-of-the-art. For this reason, the technology is not restricted to conventional applications to separation of strongly

magnetic particles from inert materials. With the combined action of the pulverizer to liberate on the basis of differences in friability and the electric/magnetic separation mechanism employed, the technology can be applied to a wide range of important new applications.

## Page 17, line 9:

The grinding chamber 200 inside of the pulverizer is shown in more detail in Figure 2. In the figure, 201 is a heavy stationary grinding ring. 202 is a rotating roller. The roller is [suspended 203 from] attached to shaft 203 that is supported by a rotating crossbar 204 cantilevered from a vertical centered drive shaft 205. Particles are pulverized by compression between the grinding ring 201 and the rotating rollers 202. One roller, 202, is shown in Figure 2. Mills may employ several rollers. A rotating plow, 206, cantilevered from the center shaft, throws the large, heavy particles which land near the center of the mill base back into the grinding zone between ring and rollers. Particles which are difficult to grind and which are too heavy to be lifted by the air flow, 5, entering the mill base through air flow casing 18 and passing through a plurality of vanes 208 concentrate in the base of the pulverizer 207. Removal mechanism 7 passes through the air scroll casing 18. It opens to the base of the mill inside one the air flow vanes 208. A second removal mechanism 8 enters the grinding chamber at 209 above the elevation of the rotating cross bar 204.

#### Page 18, line 1:

Hot air 5 is blown into the base of the mill 207 through the air casing 18 shown in Figure 3. Temperatures up to 250 to 350 degrees Fahrenheit can be used. The air is heated upstream of the air scroll casing by means not shown. The air enters the base of the mill with velocities ranging upward to several thousand feet per minute. The air swirls around the casing and enters the mill through vanes 208 opening underneath the grinding ring 201. The

vanes direct the air flow tangential to the inside diameter of the grinding chamber 200. Removal mechanism 7 opens to the mill base in the grinding chamber through vane at 208. It is a screw conveyor of the type manufactured by AFC of Clifton, NJ. The separation mechanism may be located in any air inlet vane around the circumference of the pulverizer but preferentially is located away from the pulverizer inlet 4. The screw conveyor opens just inside the vane without protruding into the base where it would be hit by the plow. The air flow slot 301 immediately upstream of the screw conveyor opening is plugged off to prevent air flow. In operation, this permits buildup of particles in front of the screw conveyor. It is not necessary to employ an air lock device at the exit of the conveyor because air flow is blocked by particles inside the length of the conveyor. The screw conveyor mechanism must be able to operate at the temperature in the base of the pulverizer. More than one [separation] removal mechanism 7 may be used in the base of the mill.

Page 18, line 25:

The inside of the pulverizer at an elevation above the top of the gear train mechanism 211 is shown in Figure 4. The casing 400 encloses the inverted cone of a static classifier 401. Air and particles passing upward through the mill enter the classifier through vanes 402. Small particles and air exit the pulverizer through the product pipe at 6. Oversize particles drop to the bottom of the inverted cone and return to the grinding chamber 200 through flap valves 403. A [separation] removal mechanism 9 is attached to the outside wall of the casing 400. It connects to the inside space between the casing wall and the inverted cone 401. A [separation] removal mechanism 10 passes through the casing 400 and is attached to the bottom of the inverted cone at the flap valves 403.

Page 19, line 9:

[Separation] Removal mechanism 8 is a kick-out door. It opens to the inside of the pulverizer chamber at 209. The [separation] removal mechanism 8 can be located at any elevation from the top of the roller 202 up to the top of the grinding chamber at 210. It is preferentially at an elevation above or below the rotating arm 204 and at a location around the circumference of the grinding chamber which is away from the feed 4 and the mill drive shaft 212. More than one [separation] removal mechanism 8 may be used in the grinding chamber.

# Page 19, line 18:

[Separation] Removal mechanism 9 is a kick-out door. It opens into the region of the pulverizer above the top of the gear train mechanism 211 between the casing 400 and the inverted cone 401 of the classifier. It can be located at any elevation from the top of the gear train mechanism 211 up to an elevation below the entrance to the classifier at 402. More than one [separation] removal mechanism 9 may be used above the top of the gear train mechanism. It can be located anywhere around the circumference of the classifier.

## Page 20, line 24:

A second mechanism 600 for removing particles from the inside of the pulverizer is shown in side view in Figure 6. The mechanism is mounted to the side of the pulverizer 601 through explosion proof gate valve 500. The sampling device consists of a rectangular chamber 604 which is mounted to a flange 602 for attachment to the gate valve on the side of the pulverizer. The flange makes an angle of approximately 60 degrees with respect to the vertical. The gate valve 500 can be attached directly to the side of the pulverizer or can be attached to access doors on the side of the pulverizer using a transition plate 603. The transition plate is a plate which is thick enough to withstand 50 psi excursions in the pressure and which is used to accommodate the difference in the bolt hole locations on the gate valve 500 and those on the pulverizer. The chamber 604 contains a sampling device

one wall at the other end 607 as shown in the Figure. A rod 608 is attached to the inside face of the sampling chamber at 613. This rod passes through the vertical wall at the back of the sampling mechanism at 609. A dustless connector 610 consisting of a cylindrical hollow fibrous plug surrounding the rod 608 and fitting inside a cylindrical sleeve 614 prevents dust leakage from the inside of the pulverizer. The rod 608 is used to move the sampling device 605 into and out of the pulverizer. The sampling device can be arranged to open up, as shown in Figure 6, or to open down. [Flange] Adapter 611 connects the sampling device 600 to an air lock mechanism [612] 705 for isolating the material being taken from the mill.

Page 21, line 24:

Particles removed from the pulverizer by [separation] removal devices 7, 8, 9, or 10 can be issued to reject stream 17 directly or conveyed 11 to feed hopper 20. The particles withdrawn from the internal circulation in the mill by any of the sampling mechanisms, 7, 8, 9, or 10 can be directed individually or in combinations to the reject stream 17. The conveyance mechanism 11 can be a screw conveyor or a conventional conveyor of the type manufactured by AFC of Clifton, NJ. The conveyance mechanism 11 and the separation mechanism 2 and return conveyance mechanism 16 and the reject conveyance mechanism 17 should be enclosed to prevent dusting. The capacity of the conveyors 11 ranges from 1/10 to the full rate at which particles are fed to the pulverizer and preferentially is in the range of 1/3 to ½ of the full rate of the feed. The capacity of the return conveyance devices 16 and the reject conveyance mechanism 17 ranges from 1/6 to the full rate of the feed to the pulverizer.

Page 22, line 20:

The following description refers to Figure 8. Particles in the size range from .07 mm to 3 mm discharge onto a vibratory feeder 100 of the type which can be obtained from Eriez Magnetics, Erie, PA, such as Model No. 15A. The surface of the vibratory feeder 100 is made of a conducting material which has a work function which is intermediate between those of the particles to be separated. The work function of a material is "the minimum energy required to remove and electron from the interior of a solid to a point just outside the surface" (CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 81st edition, Edited by David R. Lide, CRC Press LLC, Boca Raton, Florida, 2000, Page 2-59, incorporated by reference herein). For example, in sorting coal particles containing mineral impurities copper may be used. The vibrating tray serves as a means to triboelectrically charge the particles and to move them to the surface of a belt conveyor 801. Particles with the lowest work function will generally become positively charged and the particles with greatest work functions will become negatively charged. The particles pass under a permanent magnet 802 as they fall onto the belt. The permanent magnet serves to remove strongly magnetic gangue material such as shards of iron which may be in the mixture of particles. The particles are further charged by sliding friction as they fall onto moving belt 801. The belt carries the particles to the magnetic pulley 803 at the end of the belt conveyor. The belt can be made from insulating, or conduction material and can have iron fibers implanted in the surface to enhance the magnetic field gradient at the surface of the magnetic separator 803. Preferably the belt is made from antistatic material such as can be purchased from Taconic, Petersburgh, NY.

#### Page 24, line 3:

Particles which migrate to the regions of high magnetic flux such as 906 and 907 and which are held there by magnetic forces at the surface of the belt are carried around the axis 908 of the pulley 803 by the belt 801 and drop free from underneath the belt at 804 as the belt pulls away from the cylindrical magnet surface. A [doctor blade] brush 807 is located at the back edge of the idler pulley 808 to remove particles adhering to the belt.

Page 34, line 9:

Particles withdrawn from the pulverizer by mechanisms 2101 can be either issued to reject stream 17 directly or conveyed 11 to separation mechanism 2 as shown in Figure 1. The separation mechanism used here is exactly the same as that discussed above for the case of the ring/roller mill. The particles to be returned to the pulverizer from separation mechanism 2 can be returned through the wall of the pulverizer at 2198 or preferably through the feed chute 2111. An air lock mechanism [612] 705 of Figure 6 or 705 of Figure 7 is employed to isolate the atmosphere inside the mill from that in the separation mechanism 2. Reject particles are discharged from the pulverizer and separation mechanism into stream 17 of Figure 1.

Page 37, line 5:

Particles withdrawn from the pulverizer by mechanisms 2201 and 2299 can be conveyed directly to reject stream 17 or conveyed 11 to separation mechanism 2 as shown in Figure 1. The separation mechanism used here is exactly the same as that discussed above for the case of the ring/roller mill. The particles to be returned to the pulverizer from separation mechanism 2 can be returned through the wall of the pulverizer at 2298 or preferably through the feed chute 2211. An air lock mechanism [612] 705 of Figure 6 or 705 of Figure 7 is employed to isolate the atmosphere inside the mill from that in the separation mechanism 2. Reject particles are discharged from the pulverizer and separation mechanism into stream 17 of Figure 1.

Page 38, line 15:

A portion of the hard particles are withdrawn from the mill by particle sampling mechanisms 7, 8, 9, and 10. Some types of conventional pulverizers such as roller mills

separate large and very hard debris such as iron spikes from the grinding zone through openings in the air flow passages in the bottom of the pulverizer (not shown here). These openings are generally called pyrite traps. They remove a very small amount of material from the pulverizer, generally less than 0.1% of the feed. The pyrite traps are intended to protect the pulverizer from damage. They are not used to improve the quality of the product of pulverizing. In a MagMill™, material is removed from the inside of the pulverizer through sampling mechanisms 7, 8, 9, [&] and 10 at a very high rate. This can be as much as 100% of the rate at which particles enter the mill. Preferably, it is between 10% and 100% of the feed rate. More preferably it is between 30% and 50% of the feed rate. The purpose of removing this material is to improve the quality of the product. The advantage of processing this stream of particles taken from the internal circulation of the pulverizer is the extra mineral liberation in this stream. The particles are intermediate in size between the size of particles fed to the pulverizer and that issued in the product. Separation of particles in this stream is more efficient than treating the feed. Further, this stream of particles has a high concentration of the hard material to be removed so that the separation mechanism 2 can be smaller than that required to treat the entire stream. The MagMill™ is a technically and economically advantageous method for improving the quality of the pulverizer product.

## Page 39, line 11:

Particles which are removed from the internal circulation of the pulverizer through sampling mechanisms 7, 8, 9, [&] and 10 can be either issued to reject stream 17 directly or fed to the hopper 20 and screening device 12 where oversize particles 15 are withdrawn. The particles withdrawn from the internal circulation in the mill by any of the sampling mechanisms 7, 8, 9, or 10 can be directed individually or in combinations to the reject stream 17 when the quality of the particles does not warrant processing through separation mechanism 2. Oversize particles are those which are too coarse for effective treatment in the separation mechanism 2. They are generally coarser than 8 mesh or about 3

mm. The top-size is dependent on the characteristics of particles to be processed in the separation mechanism. Generally, strongly magnetic particles can be processed efficiently at a coarser size consist than can feebly magnetic particles such as coal. When grinding coal, pulverizer concentrated particles are generally smaller than 8 mesh with only a few percent finer than 100 mesh. If the oversize particles coarser than 8 mesh are highly concentrated in hard impurity particles, they are rejected to stream 17. Otherwise the oversize particles are returned to the pulverizer for additional grinding through steam 16. Under size particles, generally finer than 8 mesh, are fed to the electric and magnetic means 2 where particles are separated on the basis of air drag, particle mass, surface charging, and magnetic characteristics. The less desirable hard particles separated by separator 2 are rejected from the MagMill™ in stream 17. The friable particles recovered by the magnetic separator are returned to the pulverizer for grinding to specification in stream 16. For coal, separation of mineral gangue results in a pulverized-coal product which has lower concentrations of ash, sulfur, and associated trace metals than the coal fed to the pulverizer.

# Page 40, line 12:

The following description of the method is given in terms of pulverizing coal in a ring/roller mill. It illustrates the principles of separation in operation inside the mill and shows the function of the electric and magnetic separator. While the grinding mechanism illustrated is that of a ring/roller mill, mills and crushers of other types could have been used and products coarser than pulverized are possible. Further, the separation mechanism shown is not limiting. Means for particle size classification other than screening such as air classifiers, air tables, air cyclone, etc. can be used. Additionally, in some instances only the first stage ElectriMag™ Separator may be required.

## Page 40, line 24:

Figure 17 is a cut-away view of a MagMill™ pulverizer which consists of an airswept ring/roller pulverizer 1 and a separation means 2 working together. Raw feed 3 consisting of a plurality of particles of widely differing sizes with varying degrees of association enters the pulverizer 1 through the mill housing at 4. The largest particle is generally ½ inch to 1 inch in size. The feed can enter the mill from the top as well using means not shown. For coal pulverizing, the ash concentration of the feed coal may range from a few percent on a weight basis to 30 to 50 Wt. % or even higher while 7 to 10% is typical. The sulfur content may range from below 1 Wt. % up to 5 [to] or 10 Wt. % or even higher while 1 to 2% is typical. The MagMill™ separates a mineral fraction, iron pyrite, which generally contains 50% of the sulfur in the coal. The concentration of the iron pyrite in the feed to the MagMill<sup>™</sup> can range from less than 1 to 5 Wt. % or higher. It is generally in the range from 0.5 to 1% Wt.%. Pre-combustion separation of iron pyrite will lower the sulfur oxide concentration in the combustion products which must be scrubbed and, perhaps more importantly, will reduce the amount of reactive iron sulfides at the water wall when low nitrogen oxide (NO<sub>x</sub>) burners are used. Water wall wastage is related to reactive iron sulfides produced when iron pyrite is burned in low NO<sub>x</sub> burners. The resulting sulfides migrate to the boiler walls and release sulfur which is very corrosive under the reducing conditions.

#### Page 41, line 19:

There are many trace metals in coal. Each can range from parts per billion based on the weight of coal to thousands of parts per million. Of the trace metals, mercury, arsenic, and selenium are of particular interest because they are considered hazardous air pollution precursors (HAPS). Mercury is of particular interest because of the emissions restriction anticipated, less than 1 pound of mercury per 10<sup>13</sup> Btu or approximately 1 pound of mercury per 10<sup>9</sup> pounds of coal, and the difficult and cost of removal from flue gases, of the order of \$20,000 per pound of mercury. With mercury levels typically 100 pounds per billion pounds of coal, very high efficiencies of removal will be required. Arsenic is of

additional interest because this trace metal poisons catalytic reactors used for separation of nitrogen oxides from the combustion off gases. Catalyst replacement is very expensive.

Page 43, line 7:

Particles colliding with or moving near the walls of the grinding chamber 200 are removed from the pulverizer through [separation] removal mechanism 8 mounted on the wall of the grinding chamber. There may be more than one such [separation] removal mechanism and they may be mounted at various elevations above the top of the grinding zone in the base of the mill 207. The [separation] removal mechanism 8 opens into the mill through a hinged door which can be directed to catch particles which are rising, falling, or moving around the circumference of the mill in either clockwise or counterclockwise direction. An air-jet mechanism 615 can be used to prevent excess amount of fine material from being withdrawn from the mill. This is accomplished by directing the air jet into the mill through the opening for mechanism 8. The coarse particles which are deflected into the [separation] removal mechanism fall through an airlock mechanism which serves to isolate the atmosphere inside the mill. The mill can be of the overpressure or the under-pressure type. Particles exiting mechanism 8 can be discharged to the reject stream 17 directly when the quality of the particles does not warrant processing with separation mechanism 2 or conveyed to the separation mechanism 2 via conveyor 11. This conveyor can be a screw conveyor, a belt conveyor, elevator or any method for moving the particles in the minus 8 mesh size fraction.

#### Page 44, line 1:

Particles which are falling along the inside wall of the outside casing of the classifier are removed from the pulverizer circulation by [separation] removal mechanism 9. More than one such mechanism may be employed and they may be mounted at any elevation below the entrance to the classifier at the top of the mill. This mechanism may be arranged to

catch particles rising, falling, or with a vortex motion in either direction around the inside wall of the classifier casing. Preferentially, it is arranged to catch particles falling back to the grinding zone. An air jet mechanism 615 similar to that described above can be used to prevent an excess of small particles from exiting the mill. The mechanism and the means to convey to the separation mechanism 2 or to the reject stream 2 are similar to that of [separation] removal mechanism 8.

## Page 44, line 27:

Particles withdrawn from the pulverizer 1 are conveyed 11. They can be discharged to the reject stream 17 directly when the quality of the particles does not warrant processing with separation mechanism 2 or to the storage bin 20 at the input to the separation mechanism 2. Particles are discharged from bin 20 to the size classification means 12 which, for this example, is a screen. The undersize particles, generally smaller than 8 mesh, are discharged to vibratory feeder 100. The oversize particles 15 can be conveyed either to the pulverizer for additional grinding 16 or conveyed to reject 17 depending upon the quality of the particles. The product of the separation mechanism is returned to the pulverizer 16 for grinding to size specification. The reject from the separation mechanism is conveyed to refuse 17.

## Page 45, line 11:

[The] Referring now to figure 8, the vibratory feeder 100 serves to convey the undersize particles to the belt separator 801 and to electrically charge the particles by friction and contact. The material surface of the vibratory feeder is preferentially an electrical conductor which has a work function which is intermediate between the two major types of particles to be separated. For coal, copper is preferred. In contact with copper, the hydrocarbon component of coal will lose [an electron] electrons to the copper and become

positively charged. The inorganic particles to be separated will generally acquire the [electron] <u>electrons</u> from the copper and become negatively charged. In addition to serving as an intermediary in the transfer of charge, the copper is an electrical conductor and this facilitates the charge transfer. The copper and inorganic particles do not have to be in direct contact to transfer the charge. The particles can also transfer charge by direct contact.

Page 48, line 9:

Negatively charged particles which are the most magnetic will travel around the arc of the first magnetic separator and will leave the belt underneath and away from the separator. They will generally have negative electric charges [greater] less than -10<sup>-5</sup> coulombs/kg and magnetic susceptibilities greater than 10 – 50\*10<sup>-9</sup> m³/kg. They will exit the first separator at **804**.

Page 53, line 4:

Figure 17 and the description which follows it illustrates a preferred embodiment of this invention. It is not restricted to pulverizing coal but can be used to improve the properties of any material for which size reduction will liberate the particle components and for which the size reduction mechanism serves also to concentrate one fraction while the separator mechanism serves to separate one fraction. The air-swept pulverizer used for illustration is of the ring/roller type such as that manufactured by Bradley Pulverizer Company of Allentown, Pennsylvania. While the grinding mechanism employed is that of a ring/roller mill, all other mills for which particles circulating inside the mill can be accessed, such as hammer mills and roller mills, can be used. The classifier oversize material which is returned to the grinding zone from pulverizers such as ball mills can also be treated by the method of this invention. The method described above can be used in pulverizers employing under pressure or over pressure. Further the preferred separator means including

size classification and magnetic separation are illustrative of the invention and are not intended to be limiting. Other [mean] means of separation can be employed such as size classification alone, or magnetic and electric separation, cyclones, air tables, etc.

# Version with markings to show changes made to the claims

1. An apparatus for separating undesired material from coal comprising:

an air swept pulverizer for breaking up coal into particles where the pulverizer is a hammer mill, bowl mill, roller mill, or ring/roller mill, the pulverizer includes a feed mechanism which introduces coal into the pulverizer, the pulverizer includes an air blower which introduces flowing air into the pulverizer, the pulverizer includes a removal mechanism which removes undesired material and coal which contains a large portion of undesired material from the pulverizer; [and]

a separation mechanism connected to the pulverizer for separating undesired material from coal[.], the separation mechanism includes a conveyor which carries undesired material and coal which contains a large portion of undesired material from the removal mechanism;

a mechanism for returning coal from which a portion of the undesired material has been removed by the separation mechanism back to the pulverizer for additional grinding; and

a mechanism for diversion of material removed from the pulverizer directly to refuse without going to the separation mechanism.

2. An apparatus as described in Claim [1] 49 wherein the pulverizer includes a feed mechanism which introduces [coal] desired and undesired material into the pulverizer.

- 5. An apparatus as described in Claim [4] 2 wherein the separation mechanism includes a conveyor which carries undesired material and coal [of an undesired size] which contains a large portion of undesired material from the removal mechanism.
- 8. An apparatus as described in Claim [7] 1 wherein the separation mechanism includes a surge bin disposed adjacent the conveyor into which the undesired material and coal [of an undesired size] which contains a large portion of undesired material is deposited from the conveyor.
- 28. An apparatus for separating undesired material from desired material of a mixture comprising:
- a fluid swept comminutor for breaking up the mixture, the comminutor includes a feed mechanism which introduces the mixture into the comminutor, the comminutor includes a fluid blower which introduces flowing fluid into the comminutor, the comminutor includes a removal mechanism which removes undesired material and desired material from the comminutor; [and]

a separation mechanism connected to the comminutor for separating undesired material from desired material[.], the separation mechanism includes a conveyor which carries undesired material from the removal mechanism, the separation mechanism includes a surge bin disposed adjacent the conveyor into which the undesired material and desired material is deposited from the conveyor; and

a mechanism for direct rejection of material removed from the comminutor without further processing, the rejection mechanism connected with the comminutor.

- 29. An apparatus as described in Claim [28] <u>51</u> wherein the comminutor includes a feed mechanism which introduces the mixture into the comminutor.
- 32. An apparatus as described in Claim [31] 29 including a mechanism for direct rejection of material removed from the comminutor without further processing.
- 35. An apparatus as described in Claim [34] 28 wherein the comminutor includes a grinding chamber.